BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

MICHELLE VU NGUYEN 4913 E. Somerton Avenue Orange, CA 92867

Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. 92316

Respondent.

Case No. AC-2010-11

OAH No. 2010100697

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the California Board of Accountancy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective on June 27, 2011

It is so ORDERED May 27, 2011

FOR THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF

ACCOUNTANCY

DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

į									
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS								
2	Attorney General of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS								
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General RON ESPINOZA								
	Deputy Attorney General								
4	State Bar No. 176908 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100								
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266								
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266								
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2100 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061								
8	Attorneys for Complainant								
9		ORE THE							
	CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS								
10	STATE OF	CALIFORNIA							
11		Case No. AC-2010-11							
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	OAH No. 2010100697							
13	MICHELLE VU NGUYEN								
14	4913 E. Somerton Avenue Orange, CA 92867	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER							
15	Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. 92316								
16	Respondent								
17									
18									
19	In the interest of a prompt and speedy set	tlement of this matter, consistent with the public							
20	interest and the responsibility of the California	Board of Accountancy of the Department of							
21	Consumer Affairs, the parties hereby agree to t	he following Stipulated Settlement and							
22	Disciplinary Order, which will be submitted to	the Board for approval and adoption as the final							
23	disposition of the Accusation.								
24	<u>PA</u>	RTIES							
25	1. Patti Bowers (Complainant) is the l	Executive Officer of the California Board of							
26	Accountancy. She brought this action solely in	her official capacity and is represented in this							
27	matter by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General	of the State of California, by Ron Espinoza,							
28	Deputy Attorney General.								

///

///

- Michelle Vu Nguyen (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by
 Stuart M. Miller, Esq., whose address is: 24411 Ridge Route, Ste. 200, Laguna Hills, CA 92653.
- 3. On or about May 23, 2005, the California Board of Accountancy issued Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. 92316 to Respondent Michelle Vu Nguyen. The Certified Public Accountant Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. AC-2010-11, and will expire on July 31, 2011, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No. AC-2010-11 was filed before the California Board of Accountancy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on September 14, 2010. Respondent timely filed her Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. AC-2010-11 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. AC-2010-11. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. AC-2010-11, except for the charges set forth in the Third Cause for Discipline.
- 9. Respondent agrees that her Certified Public Accountant Certificate is subject to discipline and agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

RESERVATION

10. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the California Board of Accountancy is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

CONTINGENCY

- 11. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the California Board of Accountancy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the California Board of Accountancy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or her counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 12. The parties understand and agree that copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including the signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary

. 14

Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.

14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. 92316 issued to Respondent Michelle Vu Nguyen is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for three (3) years on the following terms and conditions.

- 1. **Obey All Laws.** Respondent shall obey all federal, California, other states' and local laws, including those rules relating to the practice of public accountancy in California.
- 2. **Submit Written Reports.** Respondent shall submit, within ten (10) days of completion of the quarter, written reports to the Board on a form obtained from the Board. The Respondent shall submit, under penalty of perjury, such other written reports, declarations, and verification of actions as are required. These declarations shall contain statements relative to Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. Respondent shall immediately execute all release of information forms as may be required by the Board or its representatives.
- 3. **Personal Appearances.** Respondent shall, during the period of probation, appear in person at interviews/meetings as directed by the Board or its designated representatives, provided such notification is accomplished in a timely manner.
- 4. **Comply With Probation.** Respondent shall fully comply with the terms and conditions of the probation imposed by the Board and shall cooperate fully with representatives of the Board of Accountancy in its monitoring and investigation of the Respondent's compliance with probation terms and conditions.

26 | ///

27 | ///

28 | ///

- 5. **Practice Investigation.** Respondent shall be subject to, and shall permit, practice investigation of the Respondent's professional practice. Such a practice investigation shall be conducted by representatives of the Board, provided notification of such review is accomplished in a timely manner.
- 6. **Comply With Citations.** Respondent shall comply with all final orders resulting from citations issued by the Board of Accountancy.
- 7. Tolling of Probation For Out-of-State Residence/Practice. In the event Respondent should leave California to reside or practice outside this state, Respondent must notify the Board in writing of the dates of departure and return. Periods of non-California residency or practice outside the state shall not apply to reduction of the probationary period, or of any suspension. No obligation imposed herein, including requirements to file written reports, reimburse the Board costs, or make restitution to consumers, shall be suspended or otherwise affected by such periods of out-of-state residency or practice except at the written direction of the Board.
- 8. **Violation of Probation.** If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or a petition to revoke probation is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 9. **Completion of Probation.** Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's license will be fully restored.
- 10. **Ethics Continuing Education.** Respondent shall complete an ethics continuing education course (4 hours minimum) within 120 days of the effective date of the Decision and Order and submit proof of completion to the Board.

If Respondent fails to complete the course within the time period provided, Respondent shall so notify the Board and shall cease practice until Respondent completes the course, has submitted proof of same to the Board, and has been notified by the Board that she may resume practice. Failure to complete the course no later than 100 days prior to the termination of

probation shall constitute a violation of probation. This course shall be in addition to continuing education requirements for relicensing.

Regulatory Review Course. Respondent shall complete a Board-approved 11. regulatory review course (2 hours minimum) within 120 days of the effective date of the Decision and Order and submit proof of completion to the Board.

Failure to satisfactorily complete the required course as scheduled or failure to complete same no later than 100 days prior to the termination of probation shall constitute a violation of probation. This course shall be in addition to continuing education requirements for relicensing.

- Active License Status. Respondent shall at all times maintain an active license status with the Board, including during any period of suspension. If the license is expired at the time the Board's decision becomes effective, the license must be renewed within 30 days of the effective date of the decision.
- **Cost Reimbursement.** Respondent shall reimburse the Board \$5,500 for its investigation and prosecution costs for this matter. Payment in full of the \$5,500 shall be made to the Board within 120 days of the effective date of the Decision and Order.
- 14. Administrative Penalty. Respondent shall pay to the Board an administrative penalty in the amount of \$5,000 for violation of Section 5100, subdivisions (g), (h), and (l) of the Business and Professions Code. Payment in full of the \$5,000 shall be made to the Board within 60 days of the effective date of the Decision and Order.

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27

28 /// MAR-23-2011 WED 03:25 PM DEPT. OF JUSTICE/ATTY GEN

FAX NO. 6196452883

P. 08

ACCEPTANCE 1 I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully 2 discussed it with my attorney, Stuart M. Miller, Esq. I understand the stipulation and the effect it 3 will have on my Certified Public Accountant Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement 4 and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the 5 Decision and Order of the California Board of Accountancy. 6 7 DATED: 8 MICHELLE VU NGUYEN 9 Respondent 10 I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Michelle Vu Nguyen the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. 11 I approve its form and content. 1.2 13 DATED: STUART M. MILLER, ESO. 14 Attorney for Respondent 15 16 ENCORSEMENT 17 The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the California Board of Accountancy of the Department of 18 19 Consumer Affairs. 20 Dated: March 23, 2011 Respectfully submitted, 24 21 KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California 22 James M. Ledakis Supervising Deputy Attorney General 23 24 RON ESPINOZA 25 Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant 26 27 28 SD2010800103

Exhibit A

Accusation No. AC-2010-11

1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California								
2 .	JAMES M. LEDAKIS								
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General RON ESPINOZA								
4	Deputy Attorney General								
4	State Bar No. 176908 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100								
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266								
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266								
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2100 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061								
	Attorneys for Complainant								
8	BEFOR	RE THE							
9	CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY								
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA								
11									
		Case No. AC-2010-11							
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:								
13	MICHELLE VU NGUYEN	A C C V C A T X O N							
14	4913 E. Somerton Avenue Orange, CA 92867	ACCUSATION							
15	Certified Public Accountant Certificate No.								
16	92316								
17	Respondent.								
18									
19	Complainant alleges:								
20	<u>PARTIES</u>								
21	1. Patti Bowers (Complainant) brings th	is Accusation solely in her official capacity as							
22	the Executive Officer of the California Board of Accountancy (CBA), Department of Consumer								
23	Affairs.								
24	2. On or about May 23, 2005, the Califo	ornia Board of Accountancy issued Certified							
25	Public Accountant Certificate Number 92316 to Michelle Vu Nguyen (Respondent). The								
26	Certified Public Accountant Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the								
	charges brought herein and will expire on July 31, 2011, unless renewed.								
27	charges brought herein and will expire on July 31	., 2011, uniess felleweu.							
28									

- 11

JURISDICTION

3. This Accusation is brought before the California Board of Accountancy, Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

4. Section 5100 states:

After notice and hearing the board may revoke, suspend, or refuse to renew any permit or certificate granted under Article 4 (commencing with Section 5070) and Article 5 (commencing with Section 5080), or may censure the holder of that permit or certificate for unprofessional conduct that includes, but is not limited to, one or any combination of the following causes:

- (g) Willful violation of this chapter or any rule or regulation promulgated by the board under the authority granted under this chapter.
- (h) Suspension or revocation of the right to practice before any governmental body or agency.

(l) The imposition of any discipline, penalty, or sanction on a registered public accounting firm or any associated person of such firm, or both, or on any other holder of a permit, certificate, license, or other authority to practice in this state, by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board or the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, or their designees under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 or other federal legislation.

5. Section 5109 of the Code states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a license, practice, privilege, or other authority to practice public accountancy by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of or action or disciplinary proceeding against the licensee, or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 6. Section 5063 states in pertinent part:
 - (a) A licensee shall report to the board in writing of the occurrence of any of the following events occurring on or after January 1, 1997, within 30 days of the date the licensee has knowledge of these events:

		(3) The	e cancellatio	n, rev	ocation,	, or su	spension	of the	right to	praction	ce as
a ce	ertified	public	accountant	or a pi	ublic ac	count	ant before	e any g	governm	ental b	ody
or a	gency.										

- (b) A licensee shall report to the board in writing the occurrence of any of the following events occurring on or after January 1, 2003, within 30 days of the date the licensee has knowledge of the events:
- (3) Any notice of the opening or initiation of a formal investigation of the licensee by the Securities and Exchange Commission or its designee.
- (4) Any notice from the Securities and Exchange Commission to a licensee requesting a Wells Submission.

COST RECOVERY

7. Section 5107 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the CBA may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay to the CBA the reasonable costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case, including but not limited to attorneys' fees.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

8. On or about February 19, 2009, Respondent contacted the CBA via e-mail, advising of her recent settlement with the Securities and Exchange Commission (Commission) and asking for information about the requirements to report the event to the CBA. Respondent was instructed to complete the Reportable Events form available on the CBA's website. On March 2, 2009, Respondent submitted a Reportable Events Reporting Form for Insurer/Licensed Insurance Broker, dated January 23, 2009, along with the Commission's Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Rule 102(e) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions (Order).

9. The Order, SEC Release No. 59317, dated January 29, 2009, states in part:

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement ("Offer") which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, and without admitting or denying the findings herein, except as to the Commission's jurisdiction over her and the subject matter of these proceedings, and the findings contained in Section III.3. below, which are admitted, Respondent consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Rule 102(e) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions ("Order"), as set forth below.

III.

On the basis of this Order and Respondent's Offer, the Commission finds that:

- 1. Nguyen, age 51, is and has been a certified public accountant licensed to practice in the States of California and Maryland.
- 2. Meridian Holdings, Inc. ("Meridian") was, at all relevant times, a Colorado corporation with its principal executive offices in Culver City, California. In 2004, Meridian maintained its principal executive office in Los Angeles, California and its common stock was registered with the Commission . . .
- 3. On September 28, 2007, the Commission filed a complaint against Nguyen in SEC v. Meridian Holdings, Inc., et al., Case No. CV 07-06335 DDP (SSx) (C.D. Cal.). On January 6, 2009, the court entered an order permanently enjoining Nguyen, by consent, from violation of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, and Rules 10b-5 and 13b2-1 thereunder, and aiding and abetting violations of Sections 13(a) and 13(b)(2)(A) of the Exchange Act, and Rules 12b-20 and 13a-13 thereunder. Nguyen was also ordered to pay a \$15,000 civil money penalty.
- 4. The Commission's complaint alleged, among other things, that during the 2004 calendar year, Nguyen acted as Meridian's principal financial officer and interim chief financial officer for purposes of Meridian's public filings with the Commission and prepared the condensed consolidated financial information included in Meridian's quarterly reports filed with the Commission. The Commission's complaint further alleged that Nguyen, at the direction of Meridian's Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board, participated in a fraudulent scheme which resulted in materially false and misleading financial statements being included in Meridian's quarterly reports on Form 10-QSB for the second and third quarters of 2004. The Commission's complaint also alleged that Nguyen engaged in improper accounting practices that materially increased Meridian's quarterly revenue and net income in a departure from generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). These practices included, among other

things, creating the condensed consolidated financial statements included in Meridian's second and third quarter 2004 Forms 10-QSB filed with Commission, which included, as assets and income, a \$30 million default judgment award plus accumulated interest thereon. By recognizing the default judgment and interest thereon as income in its 2004 second and third quarter reports, Meridian reported positive earnings per share for each quarter. Absent the default judgment, and interest, Meridian would have reported losses per share for each quarter. Additionally, the Commission's complaint alleged Nguyen caused Meridian to record the default judgment and interest thereon as a \$31 million asset on Meridian's balance sheets, resulting in the default judgment constituting 85% of Meridian's total assets. The Commission's complaint alleged that the inclusion of the default judgment and interest in Meridian's financial statements was both contrary to GAAP and materially false and misleading because Nguyen had no reasonable basis to conclude that that (sic) Meridian would be able to collect any, let alone all, of the default judgment and interest.

IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanction agreed to in Respondent Nguyen's Offer.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED, effective immediately that:

- A. Nguyen is suspended from appearing or practicing before the Commission as an accountant.
- B. After three years from the date of this order, Respondent may request that the Commission consider her reinstatement by submitting an application (attention: Office of the Chief Accountant) to resume appearing or practicing before the Commission as:
- 1. a preparer or reviewer, or a person responsible for the preparation or review, of any public company's financial statements that are filed with the Commission. Such an application must satisfy the Commission that Respondent's work in her practice before the Commission will be reviewed either by the independent audit committee of the public company for which she works or in some other acceptable manner, as long as she practices before the Commission in this capacity; and/or
- 2. an independent accountant. Such an application must satisfy the Commission that:
- (a) Respondent, or the public accounting firm with which she is associated, is registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ("Board") in accordance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, and such registration continues to be effective;
- (b) Respondent, or the registered public accounting firm with which she is associated, has been inspected by the Board and that inspection did not identify any criticisms of or potential defects in the Respondent's or the

firm's quality control system that would indicate that the Respondent will not receive appropriate supervision;

- (c) Respondent has resolved all disciplinary issues with the Board, and has complied with all terms and conditions of any sanctions imposed by the Board (other than reinstatement by the Commission); and
- (d) Respondent acknowledges her responsibility, as long as Respondent appears or practices before the Commission as an independent accountant, to comply with all requirements of the Commission and the Board, including, but not limited to, all requirements relating to registration, inspections, concurring partner reviews and quality control standards.
- C. The Commission will consider an application by Respondent to resume appearing or practicing before the Commission provided that her state CPA license is current and she has resolved all other disciplinary issues with the applicable state boards of accountancy. However, if state licensure is dependent on reinstatement by the Commission, the Commission will consider an application on its other merits. The Commission's review may include consideration of, in addition to the matters referenced above, any other matters relating to Respondent's character, integrity, professional conduct, or qualifications to appear or practice before the Commission.

10. On or about March 17, 2009, the CBA sent Respondent a letter requesting information on her suspension and reasons for her failure to report the Commission's investigation and Wells Submission request. On or about May 1, 2009, Respondent responded to the CBA's inquiries and explained that she did not report the Commission's investigation or request for a Wells Submission to the CBA due to her misunderstanding. Respondent claimed she contacted the CBA and understood that she was to report the issue as soon as the case settled.

A Wells Notice warns a person or business of possible enforcement action by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. In a Wells Submission, the person or business has the opportunity to present facts and legal arguments to convince the SEC that no action should be brought.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Suspension of Practice By Governmental Agency)

11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for violation of section 5100(h) of the Code in that she was suspended of her right to practice before a governmental body or agency, as is described in paragraphs 8-10, incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth herein.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Imposition of Discipline by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission)

12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for violation of section 5100(l) of the Code in that she was disciplined, penalized, or sanctioned by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, as is described in paragraphs 8-10, incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth herein.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Notify CBA of Suspension)

13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 5100(g) for violation of section 5063(a)(3) of the Code in that she failed to notify the CBA in writing within 30 days of her suspension from appearing or practicing before the Commission commencing January 29, 2009, as is described in paragraphs 8-10, incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth herein.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Notify CBA of the Commission's Investigation)

14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 5100(g) for violation of section 5063(b)(3) of the Code in that she failed to notify the CBA in writing within 30 days of the opening and initiation of a formal investigation by the Commission, as is described in paragraphs 8-10, incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth herein.

26

27

28

1

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Notify CBA of the Request for a Wells Submission)

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 5100(g) for violation of 15. section 5063(b)(4) of the Code in that she failed to notify the CBA in writing within 30 days of the notice from the Commission requesting a Wells Submission, as is described in paragraphs 8-10, incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth herein.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the California Board of Accountancy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending or otherwise imposing discipline upon Certified Public Accountant Certificate Number 92316 issued to Michelle Vu Nguyen;
- Ordering Michelle Vu Nguyen to pay the California Board of Accountancy the 2. reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 5107;
 - Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 3.

Executive Officer

California Board of Accountancy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

SD2010800103 70304570.doc

25

26

27

28